

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,800	05/28/2004	Randolph J. Sheffield	68.0477	3799
	7590 01/16/200 GER RESERVOIR CC	EXAMINER		
14910 AIRLIN	E ROAD	BOMAR, THOMAS S		
ROSHARON, T	IX 77583		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO1	NTHS	01/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applic	pplication No. Applicant(s)						
		10/709	9,800	SHEFFIELD, RA	SHEFFIELD, RANDOLPH J.				
Office Action Summary			ner	Art Unit					
		Shane	Bomar	3672					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSIGN OF	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply ar y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN be event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mo application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>04 Decembe</i>	r 2006.						
2a)□	•	2b) This action i		. •					
3)	Since this application is in condition	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7-14,16,20-27,29 and 30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7-14,16,20-27,29 and 30</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	n requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted o	r b) 🗌 objected t	o by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing	(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			% 				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* (See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the c	ertified copies n	ot received.					
Attachmer	nt(c)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	-	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date					
. —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date			of Informal Patent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 4, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 7-14, 16, 20-27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent application publication 2003/0000411 to Cernocky et al in view of US 4,648,471 to Bordon.

Regarding claims 1, 14, 16, 27, and 30, Cernocky et al disclose a method, system, and apparatus usable with a subterranean well, comprising: deploying a casing conveyed tool 18 in a subterranean well; disposing the casing conveyed tool 18 in the outer surface 12 of a casing 10 in the well so that the tool does not block the central passageway; communicating a wireless stimulus downhole in the well; actuating, or firing, the casing conveyed tool 18, which is a perforating gun, in response to the communication; and communicating another wireless

- Application/Control Number: 10/709,800

Art Unit: 3672

stimulus uphole from a transmitter (antennas are know to be transmitters and receivers) that is integrated with the casing string (see Figs. 1, 2, and 5; paragraphs 0025-0027, 0038, and 0050).

Although it is taught that the wireless stimulus that is transmitted uphole is for monitoring purposes (see paragraph 0038), it is not specifically taught that the monitoring is for confirming that the perforating gun fired.

Bordon teaches a tubing conveyed perforating gun similar to that of Cernocky et al (see col. 2, lines 42-46). It is further taught that a confirmation of the firing of the perforating gun charges is transmitted uphole (see col. 5, lines 37-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Cernocky et al and Bordon before him at the time the invention was made, that the monitoring taught by Cernocky et al would include the confirmation of the firing of the perforating gun of Bordon, in order to obtain a method, system, and/or apparatus that will avoid dangerous situations such as bringing armed but unfired charges to the surface (see col. 2, lines 32-35 of Bordon). One would have been motivated to make such a combination because the references address the narrow problem of firing tubing, or casing, conveyed perforating gun charges downhole, therefore, a person seeking to solve that exact problem would consult the references and apply their teachings together.

Regarding claims 7-12, 20-25, and 29, the combination applied to claims 1, 14, and 27 above teaches that the wireless signals transmitted downhole comprise at least one of an acoustic wave, an electromagnetic wave, a seismic wave, and a fluid pressure pulse, wherein acoustic and seismic waves are known to be pressure pulses and fluid will inherently be located in the well or tubing (see paragraph 0048 of Cernocky et al).

Application/Control Number: 10/709,800 Page 4

Art Unit: 3672

Regarding claims 13 and 26, the combination applied to claims 1 and 14 above teaches that the stimulus is encoded to indicate a command and the stimulus is decoded to extract the command (see claim 1 of Cernocky et al).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-7, filed October 30, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 4-6, 17-19, and 31 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cernocky et al and Bordon.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shane Bomar whose telephone number is 571-272-7026. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:00am to 2:30pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,800

Art Unit: 3672

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pavid U Bagnell /
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3672

January 4, 2007